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#### Abstract

An improvement of the Hill cipher is proposed in this paper. The drawback of the Hill cipher algorithm is that the inverse of the matrix used for encrypting the plaintext does not always exist. So, if the matrix is not invertible, the encrypted text cannot be decrypted. Moreover, Hill cipher can be easily broken with a known plaintext attack revealing weak security. The proposed variant of the Hill cipher that overcomes these disadvantages. To overcome the drawbacks, the proposed cryptosystem uses randomly generated self-invertible matrix as an encryption key for each block encryption. Moreover, this method eliminates the computational complexity involved in finding inverse of the matrix while decryption.
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## I. Introduction

TODAY, in the Information Age, as the Internet and other forms of electronic communication become more prevalent, electronic security is becoming increasingly important. Cryptography, the science of encryption, plays a central role in mobile phone communications, pay-TV, ecommerce, sending private emails, transmitting financial information, security of ATM cards, computer passwords, electronic commerce and touches on many aspects of our daily lives [1]. Cryptography is the art or science encompassing the principles and methods of transforming an intelligible message (plaintext) into one that is unintelligible (ciphertext) and then retransforming that message back to its original form. In modern times, cryptography is considered to be a branch of both mathematics and computer science, and is affiliated closely with information theory, computer security, and engineering [2].

Cryptography systems can be broadly classified into: symmetric and asymmetric. Symmetric cryptosystems use the same key (the secret key) to encrypt and decrypt a message, and asymmetric cryptosystems use one key (the public key) to encrypt a message and a different key (the private key) to decrypt it. Asymmetric cryptosystems are also called public key cryptosystems. Symmetric encryption is referred to as conventional encryption or single key encryption.

Conventional encryption can be further divided into categories of classical techniques and modern techniques. The

[^0]hallmark of conventional encryption is that the cipher or key to the algorithm is shared, i.e., known by the parties involved in the secured communication. Substitution Cipher is one of the basic components of classical ciphers. A substitution cipher is a method of encryption by which units of plaintext are substituted with ciphertext according to a regular system; the units may be single letters (the most common), pairs of letters, triplets of letters, mixtures of the above, and so forth. The receiver deciphers the text by performing an inverse substitution [3]. The units of the plaintext are retained in the same sequence in the ciphertext, but the units themselves are altered. There are a number of different types of substitution cipher. If the cipher operates on single letters, it is termed a simple substitution cipher; a cipher that operates on larger groups of letters is termed polygraphic. A monoalphabetic cipher uses fixed substitution over the entire message, whereas a polyalphabetic cipher uses a number of substitutions at different times in the message- such as with homophones, where a unit from the plaintext is mapped to one of several possibilities in the ciphertext. Hill cipher is a type of monoalphabetic polygraphic substitution cipher.

The Hill cipher algorithm is one of the symmetric key algorithms that have several advantages in data encryption such as disguising letter frequencies of the plaintext, its simplicity because of using matrix multiplication and inversion for enciphering and deciphering, its high speed, and high throughput [4]. But the drawback of this algorithm is that the inverse of the matrix used for encrypting the plaintext does not always exist. So, if the matrix is not invertible, the encrypted text cannot be decrypted. Moreover, Hill cipher can be easily broken with a known plaintext attack revealing weak security. This paper presents a variant of the Hill cipher that overcomes these disadvantages. In the proposed Cryptosystem the matrix used for the encryption is itself self-invertible. So, at the time of decryption, we need not to find inverse of the matrix. Hence, this cryptosystem eliminates the computational complexity involved in finding inverse of the matrix while decryption. To overcome the weak security of the Hill algorithm, the proposed technique generates the encryption key to form a different key for each block encryption.

The paper is organized as follows. Following the introduction, the basic concept of Hill cipher is outlined in section II. Section III discusses about the modular arithmetic. In section IV, proposed cryptosystem is presented. Finally, section V describes the concluding remarks.

## II. Hill Cipher

Hill ciphers are an application of linear algebra to cryptology. It was developed by the mathematician Lester Hill. The Hill cipher algorithm takes $m$ successive plaintext letters and substitutes $m$ ciphertext letters for them. The substitution is determined by $m$ linear equations in which each character is assigned a numerical value ( $a=0, b=1, \ldots ., z=25$ ). Let $m$ be a positive integer, the idea is to take $m$ linear combinations of the $m$ alphabetic characters in one plaintext element and produce $m$ alphabetic characters in one ciphertext element. Then, a $m \times m$ matrix $A$ is used as a key of the system such that $A$ is invertible modulo 26 [5]. Let $a_{i j}$ be the entry of $A$. For the plaintext block $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ (the numerical equivalents of $m$ letters) and a key matrix $A$, the corresponding ciphertext block $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$ can be computed as

Encryption:

$$
\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) A(\bmod 26), \ldots(1)
$$

Where

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1 m} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \ldots & a_{2 m} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
a_{m 1} & a_{m 2} & \ldots & a_{m m}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The ciphertext is obtained from the plaintext by means of a linear transformation.

Decryption:
The reverse process, deciphering, is computed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}\right) A^{-1}(\bmod 26) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
A^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1 m} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \ldots & a_{2 m} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
a_{m 1} & a_{m 2} & \ldots & a_{m m}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}(\bmod 26)
$$

Since the block length is $m$, there are $26^{m}$ different $m$ letters blocks possible, each of them can be regarded as a letter in a $26^{m}$-letter alphabet. Hill's method amounts to a monoalphabetic substitution on this alphabet [6].

## III. Modular Arithmetic

The arithmetic operation presented here are addition, subtraction, unary operation, multiplication and division. Based on this the self invertible matrix for Hill cipher algorithm is generated. The congruence modulo operator has the following properties [7]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } a \equiv b \bmod p \text { if } n \mid(a-b) \\
& \text { 2. }(a \bmod p)=(b \bmod p) \Rightarrow a \equiv b \bmod p
\end{aligned}
$$

3. $a \equiv b \bmod p \Rightarrow b \equiv a \bmod p$
4. $a \equiv b \bmod p$ and $b \equiv a \bmod p \Rightarrow a \equiv c \bmod p$

Let $\mathrm{Z}_{p}=[0,1, \ldots, p-a]$ the set residues modulo p . If modular arithmetic is performed within this set $Z_{p}$, the following equations present the arithmetic operations:

1. Addition:
$(a+b) \bmod p=[(a \bmod p)+(b \bmod p)] \bmod p$
2. Negation:
$-a \bmod p=p-(a \bmod p)$
3. Subtraction:
$(a-b) \bmod p=[(a \bmod p)-(b \bmod p)] \bmod p$
4. Multiplication:
$(a * b) \bmod p=[(a \bmod p) *(b \bmod p)] \bmod p$
5. Division:
$(a / b) \bmod p=c$ when $a=(b * c) \bmod p$

The following Table I exhibits the properties of modular arithmetic.

TABLE I
Properties of Modular Arithmetic

| Commutative Law: |
| :--- |
| $(\omega+x) \bmod p=(x+\omega) \bmod p$ |
| $(\omega * x) \bmod p=(x * \omega) \bmod p$ |$|$| Associative Law: |
| :--- |
| $[(\omega+x)+y] \bmod p=[\omega+(x+y)] \bmod p$ |
| Distribution Law: |
| $[\omega *(x+y)] \bmod p=[\{(\omega * x) \bmod p\} *\{(\omega * y) \bmod p\}] \bmod p$ |
| Identities: |
| $(0+a) \bmod p=a \bmod p$ |
| $(1 * a) \bmod p=a \bmod p$ |
| Inverses: |
| For each $\quad x \in Z_{p}$, there $\quad$ exists $\quad y \quad$ such $\quad$ that |
| $(x+y) \bmod p=0$ then $y=-x$ |
| For each $x \in Z_{p}$ there exists $y$ such that $(x * y) \bmod p=1$ |

## IV. Proposed Cryptosystem

As Hill cipher decryption requires inverse of the matrix, we suggest the use of self-invertible matrix generation method while encryption with the Hill Cipher. In the self-invertible matrix generation method, the matrix used for the encryption is itself self-invertible. So, in the proposed cryptosystem at the time of decryption, we need not to find inverse of the matrix Moreover in the proposed cryptosystem, algorithm generates the different key matrix for each block encryption instead of keeping the key matrix constant. This increases the secrecy of data. In order to generate different key matrix each time, the encryption algorithm randomly generates the seed number and from this key matrix is generated. A method of generating a random self-invertible even matrix is

The algorithm generates $n \times n$ matrix where $n$ is even and utilized for generating a self-invertible matrix.

Let $s$ be the seed for generating the random number, $t$ be the multiplier generating the random number, $p$ the modulus (necessarily to be a prime number), and $k$ a scalar constant.

Form a random matrix of $\frac{n}{2} \times \frac{n}{2} A_{11}$ with elements as
$a_{11}=s$
$a_{12}=s t$
.
-
$a_{21}=s t^{\frac{n}{2}}$
.
.
$a_{2 n / 2}=s t^{n-1}$
Thus $a_{i j}=s t^{m}$
where $m=(i-1) \frac{n}{2}+j-1,1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and $1 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2}$
Then form $A_{22}-A_{11}$
Set $a_{i+n / 2, j+n / 2}=-a_{i j}$ for $i, j=1$ to $n / 2$
Then form $A_{12}$ as $A_{12}=k\left(I-A_{11}\right)$
One can also take $k\left(I+A_{11}\right)$ that means
$a_{i, j+\frac{n}{2}}=k\left(1-a_{i j}\right)$ for $i=j=-k a_{i j}$ for
$i \neq j$ with $i, j=1$ to $n / 2$
Form $A_{21}$ as $A_{21}=\frac{1}{k}\left(I+A_{11}\right)$
Thus $a_{i+n / 2}, j=\frac{1}{k}\left(1+a_{i j}\right)$ for $i=j=\frac{a_{i j}}{k}$ for $i \neq j$
$i \neq j$ with $i, j=1$ to $n / 2$
Finally formulate $A$
Example: For $6 \times 6$ random matrix (modulo 13) $s=$ seed value $=5, t=$ multiplier $7, k=3$, then
$A_{11}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}5 & 9 & 11 \\ 12 & 6 & 3 \\ 8 & 4 & 2\end{array}\right], A_{22}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}8 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 7 & 10 \\ 5 & 9 & 11\end{array}\right]$,
$A_{12}=3\left(I-A_{11}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 12 & 6 \\ 3 & 11 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 10\end{array}\right]$,
$A_{21}=\frac{1}{3}\left[I+A_{11}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}2 & 3 & 8 \\ 4 & 11 & 1 \\ 7 & 10 & 1\end{array}\right]$

So $A=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}5 & 9 & 11 & 1 & 12 & 6 \\ 12 & 6 & 3 & 3 & 11 & 4 \\ 8 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 10 \\ 2 & 3 & 8 & 8 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 11 & 1 & 1 & 7 & 10 \\ 7 & 10 & 1 & 5 & 9 & 11\end{array}\right]$
The flowcharts for the encryption \& decryption methods are represented in Fig. $1 \& 2$.


Fig 1. Flow chart for Encryption


Fig 2. Flow chart for Decryption

## V. Conclusion

This paper presents a symmetric cipher that is actually a variation of the Hill cipher. The proposed cryptosystem eliminates the drawback of using a random key matrix in Hill cipher algorithm for encryption, where we may not be able to decrypt the encrypted message, if the matrix is not invertible. As this cryptosystem uses a different key for each block encryption, thereby significantly increases its resistance to various attacks. This cryptosystem encompass less computational complexity, as inverse of the matrix is not required while decrypting in Hill Cipher. Also the proposed method for generating self-invertible matrix can also be used in other algorithms where matrix inversion is required.
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